Supporting the implementation of the Minimum Practice Standards for Specialist and Community Support Services Responding to Child Sexual Abuse (MPS)

For organisations who have already implemented the Standards of Practice Manual for Services Against Sexual Violence 87%

of the MPS are covered, indicating a small amount of work is needed to achieve full alignment.





So how do the MPS differ from the Standards of Practice Manuals?



Where will I have good coverage of the MPS?

Where will I

need to focus my effort in Standard 3 – Service response, Needs, Service integration

 Standard 4 - Trauma-informed Model of Care, Lived and Living Experience, Consent, Reporting, Goals, Co-design, Review


 Standard 1 – Promotion of safety, Physical environments, Cultural safety / Traumainformed, Information Mgt, Rights, Language, Choice and client decision-

• Standard 2 - Diversity of needs, Person-

centred, Communications. Digital, Referrals,

 Standard 5 – Staff Checks, Minimum experience, Staff accreditation, Supervision, Staff safety, Training

• Standard 6 – Governance, Complaints, Reviewing processes and practice, Privacy



We are compliant with the Standards of Practice Manual for Services Against Sexual Violence. I want to ensure we are working towards the MPS. More specific to child sexual abuse.

The MPS are:

implementing the MPS?

Standard 1 – Disclosures

Standard 2 – None

Wait list

Standard 3 – Family decision-making

Standard 4 – None

Standard 5 – None

 Standard 6 – Organisational disclosure, Conflicts of Interest, Lived and Living Experience support, Procurement and ethical Partnering

